Mitigation of transient overvoltages in microgrid including PV arrays
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Abstract: This study investigates the mitigation of transient overvoltages (TOVs) in a microgrid supplied by renewable distributed generation resources, which include photovoltaic (PV) generators, hydro generation unit, and wind power generators. ATP/EMTP is used in this study as electromagnetic transient software. Accurate simulation models are needed to carry out the study. Therefore, a three-phase PV system model based on the equivalent Newton–Raphson circuit is used, and the efficiency of the PV system components is studied. Then, the use of the PV generation system model for switching studies is investigated using two different electrical systems. The use of different mitigation methods for TOVs at the microgrid is investigated. Three different mitigation methods are considered. First, a device to compensate reactive power such as static var compensator (SVC) is represented as a TOVs mitigation method. Then, adding a supercapacitor as TOVs mitigation method is evaluated. After that, a combination of active and reactive power simultaneously to mitigate TOV, by the addition of SVC to a supercapacitor, is investigated. The grid-connected mode and isolated mode of the microgrid are considered. The results show that the usage of SVC with supercapacitor has the ability to mitigate the transient overvoltage and the waveforms are more regular.

1 Introduction

Solar microgrid (μG) systems can be integrated into other renewable distributed generation resources (RDGRs) such as wind turbines (WTs) or micro-hydro generators. By combining more than one RDGR, the reliability of the μG system can be assured with the generated power available during the year or any weather condition [1, 2]. However, the relatively long computing time required to solve the set of differential equations representing the photovoltaic (PV) generator behaviour causes some problems [3–5].

The linearisation of the PV generator I–P output characteristic non-linear equation represents the solution to overcome the relatively long computing time required to solve the set of differential equations representing the PV generator behaviour causes some problems [4, 6]. The linearised equivalent electrical circuit is called 'equivalent Newton–Raphson circuit' (ENRC) of a PV generator [6].

In electromagnetic transient software packages and circuit simulators such as ATP/EMTP, a DC source is used instead of a PV generator since there is no such a component embedded in the programme [7, 8]. Using ATP/EMTP, transient analysis of control systems (TACS) is more suitable for models with lower data processing time because it has all the control functions predefined in a feasible environment for the realisation of mathematical routines [8].

Supercapacitors are among the promising technologies, which are attractive for many regenerative applications. Higher power density, faster dynamic response, and longer cycle life are some of the main merits of the energy storage. However, since the supercapacitors have a quick response time, they susceptible to generate high-frequency disturbances in the system [9].

Static var compensators (SVCs) can be installed to solve power quality problems such as a low-power factor, harmonic current pollution, unbalanced problems, and overvoltages. In practical applications, SVCs suffer from the potential resonance problem due to system frequency variation; inductor and capacitor values change, non-linear dynamics characteristics in SVC components such as the thyristors [10].

Recently, many kinds of literature introduce active or and reactive power compensation devices such as flexible alternating current transmission systems (FACTS) such as SVC and supercapacitors, respectively, to mitigate the problems arises at the power systems [11–15].

This study investigates the mitigation of transient overvoltages (TOVs) in a μG supplied by RDGRs, which includes PV generators, a hydro generation unit (HGU), and wind power generators (WPGs). ATP/EMTP is used in this study as electromagnetic transient software. Accurate simulation models are needed to carry out the study. Therefore, a three-phase PV system model based on ENRC is used, and the efficiency of the PV system components is studied. Then, the use of the PV system model for switching studies is investigated using two different electrical systems.

The use of different mitigation methods for TOVs at the μG is investigated. Three different mitigation methods are considered. First, a device to compensate reactive power such as SVC is represented as a TOVs mitigation method. Then, adding a supercapacitor as TOVs mitigation method is evaluated. After that, a combination of active and reactive power simultaneously to mitigate TOV, by the addition of SVC to a supercapacitor, is investigated. The μG works on two operating modes, connected network mode and isolated mode.

2 Description and modelling of the PV generation unit

2.1 Modelling of the PV generation unit

Fig. 1 shows the difference between the common used the single-diode PV generator model and the ENRC model. The common PV generator model, shown in Fig. 1a, consists of a photocurrent source, a non-linear diode, series resistance Rs, which represents the internal losses and shunt resistance, Rs in parallel with diode to take into account leakage current to the ground [4].

A current source and a linear resistance, in parallel with each other, are the elements that represented the ENRC model of the PV generator [4, 6].

The equivalent circuit current of the PV generator model (Ipv) is expressed as a function of the PV generator's voltage (Vpv) [6].
\[ I_{pv} = I_a[1 - K_1(\exp(K_2V_{pp}^{m}) - 1)] \]  
where the coefficients \(K_1, K_2, K_3, \) and \(K_4,\) and \(m\) are defined as
\[ K_1 = 0.01175 \]  
\[ K_2 = \frac{V_e}{V_{oc}} \]  
\[ K_3 = \ln \left[ \frac{I_e(1 + K_2) - I_{mpp}}{K_1I_e} \right] \]  
\[ K_4 = \ln \left[ \frac{1 + K_1}{K_2} \right] \]  
\[ m = \frac{\ln(K_e/K_1)}{\ln(V_{mpp}^{m}/V_{oc})} \]
where \(V_{mpp}\) is the maximum power point voltage, \(V_{oc}\) is the open-circuit voltage, \(I_{mpp}\) is the maximum power point current, and \(I_a\) is the short circuit current [6].

The \(I_{pv}-V_{pv}\) curve is affected by the variation of the solar irradiance (\(G\)) and temperature (\(T_a\)). The new characteristic curve \(I_{pv,new}-V_{pv,new}\) then is represented by the following equations:
\[ I_{sc} = \frac{I_e}{(G/G_0)(1 + \alpha(T - T_f))} \]  
\[ \Delta T_a = T_a - T_f \]  
\[ \Delta I_{pv} = K_2[G/G_0]T_a + \left( \frac{G}{G_0} - 1 \right)I_{sc} \]  
\[ \Delta V_{pv} = -K_4 \Delta T_a - R_s \Delta I_{pv} \]
where \(G_0\) is the solar irradiance under reference conditions, \(K_1\) is the current temperature coefficient, \(K_2\) is the voltage temperature coefficient, \(\alpha\) is the correction factor of temperature, \(T_f\) is the temperature, and \(I_{sc}\) is the short circuit current under reference conditions [6].

So, the new values of the PV generator’s voltage and current are given by
\[ V_{pv,new} = V_{pv} + \Delta V_{pv} \]  
\[ I_{pv,new} = I_{pv} + \Delta I_{pv} \]  
Using ENRC, the non-linear diode is modelled as the parameter represented by the resistance \(g_{pv0}\) of the P–N junction. The parameter \(g_{pv0}\) can be expressed as
\[ g_{pv} = \left( \frac{I_aK_1K_2}{V_{mpp}} \right)^{V_{mpp} - 1} \]

### 2.2 Proposed PV generator model validation

The PV module, here, composed by \((N_p = 54)\) series-connected polycrystalline cells \((N_p = 1)\). Table 1 shows the PV system model data [6]. The \(I-V\) characteristic of the proposed PV generator model is investigated by adding resistor–inductor–capacitor (RLC) equivalent circuit to the PV generator model. The data of both the PV generator and the RLC circuit is given in Table 1 [6].

The change of the PV generator output characteristic with the change of solar radiation and temperature is examined. First, the solar radiations are kept constant and equal to \(1000\ \text{W/m}^2\) for different temperatures. Then, with a constant temperature, i.e. equal to \(35^\circ\text{C}\), the solar radiations are kept constant. With different temperatures and solar radiations, the \(I-V\) and \(P-V\) output characteristics of the PV array are shown in Fig. 2.

### 2.3 Modelling of the investigated PV system

The PV generator model with and controller for maximum power point tracking (MPPT), filter, inverter model, and isolation transformer interface and the PV generation system with the AC grid are the main parts of the investigated PV generation system model.

#### 2.3.1 Modelling of the PV generator model and MPPT controller

The TACS/ATP investigated PV generation system model is illustrated in Fig. 3a. The model shows the four main components of the PV generation system. The analytical representation of the ENRC of the PV generator has been mentioned in Section 2.1. Table 2 shows the PV generator model data [6].

For the PV generation units (PVGU), the incremental conductance (IC) technique is used to simulate the MPPT controller proposed model [16]. Under changeable atmospheric conditions, the IC technique offers steady performance [17, 18].

The main idea is to compare the IC \((\Delta I_{pv}/\Delta V_{pv})\) to the instantaneous conductance \((I_{pv}/V_{pv})\). Depending on the result, the panel changes its operating voltage, either increasing or decreasing

---

**Table 1** PV system model data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PV generator model data</th>
<th>(V_{oc}) = 43.5 V</th>
<th>(T_{ao}) = 35°C</th>
<th>(N_p) = 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_{pv}) = 110 W</td>
<td>(I_{sc}) = 3.45 A</td>
<td>(T_f) = 25°C</td>
<td>(N_p) = 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_{mp}) = 35 V</td>
<td>(G) = 900 W/m²</td>
<td>(\alpha) = 0.00085</td>
<td>(K_1) = 0.0014 A°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{mpp}) = 3.15 A</td>
<td>(G_e) = 1000 W/m²</td>
<td>(R_s) = 0.0221 Ω</td>
<td>(K_{V}) = -0.152 V/°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RLC equivalent circuit data

\[ \delta t = 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s} \]
\[ C = 100 \mu\text{F} \]
\[ L = 10 \text{ mH} \]
\[ R = 122.592 \Omega \]

\*\(P_{pv}\): PV cell power; \*\(P_{mpp}\): maximum power point voltage.
the operating voltage, until the maximum power point is reached. The MPPT controller ATP/EMTP model is illustrated in Fig. 3b.

2.3.2 Modelling of the filter: The inductor–capacitor filter is designed to reduce and smooth high-order DC harmonics introduced by the DC/AC inverter and to limit the rate of change of DC generated by the PV generator.

2.3.3 Modelling of the inverter: A DC/AC inverter is connecting the PV generator model with the AC grid to modulate the generated power (DC) into the utility grid (AC). Two pairs of thyristors are represented the DC/AC inverter, as shown in Fig. 3a. The ATP/EMTP inverter model uses the TACS-controlled TYPE 13 switches to represent the thyristors. The inverter model measures the voltage difference across the thyristors and sent it as input to the MPPT controller, which will give the firing signals as output and by that control the TACS thyristors [16].

2.3.4 Modelling of the isolation transformer: The isolation transformer used is an ideal TYPE 18 transformer with unit ratio and includes only an equivalent inductance for both primary and secondary sides [16].

3 The PV system model validation for switching studies

The utilisation of the PV system model for switching studies is investigated using two different electrical systems. The first one is a single-phase electrical system and the second is a three-phase electrical system.

3.1 The PV system model validation using single-phase electrical system

For the PV system validation for switching studies, a 240 V single-phase electrical power system is added to the PV generation system
The TACS/ATP model for the tested electrical power system and the PV generation system model are shown in Fig. 4a. The output voltages of the PV generation system model components are shown in Figs. 4b and c. It can be seen in Fig. 4b that the output of the PV generator took a period of time (<0.05 s) to settle at a fixed value, however, the output voltage is constant, which means that the PV generator works steadily and continuously. Fig. 4c shows the AC output voltage of the DC/AC inverter. Here, the inverter successfully turns the DC output of the PV generator into AC output voltage equals 200 V.

For the PV generator model validation for transient studies, an energisation operation is performed at the investigated system. Fig. 4d illustrates the TOVs effect on the output voltage of the proposed PV system.

When the energisation operation is applied, the switching causes the output voltage of the PV generator to increase to almost the double of its steady-state values. The PV system output voltage increase from 1.07 pu (169.66 V) to 1.835 pu (291.06 V).

3.2 The PV system model using three-phase electrical system validation

A three-phase system with an ideal three-phase 360 V AC voltage source is used, for this test, to represent the utility grid. The transmission system parameters are given in [19]. The TACS/ATP model of the tested three-phase PV generation system added to the PV generation system model is shown in Fig. 5a. Fig. 5b illustrates the steady-state AC output voltage of the PV generation system model.

For the PV generator model effectiveness for transient studies, an energisation operation is performed at the investigated system. A switching is carried out by the energisation of one of the transmission system circuit breakers. A controlled switching operation is performed at the switching point circuit breaker to mitigate TOVs.

Fig. 5c illustrates the TOVs effect on the output voltage of the proposed PV system with and without the mitigation method, respectively.
The PV model steady-state output voltage value is 228.62 V, whereas the switching is applied at the voltage peak, the maximum TOV is increased to 786.94 V (3.442 pu). It can be seen that the use of controlled switching of circuit breaker reduces the TOVs at all phases A, B, and C of the output voltage of the proposed PV model.

4 Description and modelling of the μG system including PV arrays

4.1 The μG system general description

The μG system consists of three RDGR systems. The first system consists of a HGU connected to an 8 MVA, 6.9/66 kV power transformer, the hydro generation system is connected to bus 1. Wind power generator units (WPGUs), which consist of two WTs, represent the second RDGR system. The turbines are connected to a 5 MVA, 0.69/12.5 kV power transformer each. The third RDGR system is a PV generation system added nearby the WPGUs area. A 30 m transmission line TL_d is separated from the WTs from the PV array [20]. Two of the RDGR systems, WPGUs and PV generation system are connected to bus 7 through a 45 MVA, 12.5/66 kV power transformer and a 0.8 km transmission line TL_2. A 66 kV, 1000 MVA voltage source connected to bus 3 represented the utility grid. A 5 MVA, 66/12.5 kV power transformer is connected to Load_I and both are connected to bus 4. A 4 MVA, 66/12.5 kV power transformer is connected to Load_II and both are connected to bus 6. A 20.12 km transmission line TL_1 connected the RDGR systems with the two loads. [21, 22]. Fig. 6 illustrated the ATP/EMTP model of the investigated μG test system.

4.2 Modelling of the RDGRs powered the μG system

The PV array is composed of \( N_s^* = 54 \) series-connected polycrystalline cells \( N_p^* = 31 \). Previously, the PV generator model data is illustrated in Section 2.3.

The two WTs are modelled using the ATP/EMTP WT model. The model consists of the wind speed, aerodynamic, and electrical components models. The ATP/EMTP model also includes a doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG), pulse width modulated converters, transformers, and the control and supervisory system [23].

The HGU is modelled using a synchronous generator [22, 24]. Table 3 briefs the data used to parameterise the two WTs and the HGU ATP/EMTP models, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synchronous generator-based HGU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S_n = 5 ) MVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_{n} = 6.6 ) kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_A = 0.004 ) pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{d}0}' = 1.793 ) pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_0 = 0.1754 ) s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_q = 0.097 ) mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_{\text{d}}' = 0.17 ) pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_A = 0.019 ) s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_{\text{d}}' = 0.196 ) pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_A = 0.164 ) s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{d}0}' = 0 ) s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_A = 0.164 ) s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{q}0}' = 0 ) s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{d}0}' = 1.754 ) s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_{\text{d}}' = 0 ) pu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PV model steady-state output voltage value is 228.62 V, whereas the switching is applied at the voltage peak, the maximum TOV is increased to 786.94 V (3.442 pu). It can be seen that the use of controlled switching of circuit breaker reduces the TOVs at all phases A, B, and C of the output voltage of the proposed PV model.

4.2 Modelling of the RDGRs powered the μG system

The PV array is composed of \( N_s^* = 54 \) series-connected polycrystalline cells \( N_p^* = 31 \). Previously, the PV generator model data is illustrated in Section 2.3.

The two WTs are modelled using the ATP/EMTP WT model. The model consists of the wind speed, aerodynamic, and electrical components models. The ATP/EMTP model also includes a doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG), pulse width modulated converters, transformers, and the control and supervisory system [23].

The HGU is modelled using a synchronous generator [22, 24]. Table 3 briefs the data used to parameterise the two WTs and the HGU ATP/EMTP models, respectively.

4.3 Modelling of transformers and overhead lines

The μG transformers are modelled using a recommended model for transient studies. The ATP/EMTP hybrid transformer model is used to simulate the transformers at the μG system [25, 26].

Line/cable constant model, which is suitable for the electromagnetic transient studies, is used to simulate the medium-voltage overhead lines that connect between the two load areas and the generation units [27, 28]. Tables 4 and 5 give the data required for transformers and overhead lines modelling, respectively.

5 Description and modelling of the TOV mitigation methods

5.1 SVC-based TOV mitigation method

SVC is one of the most diffuse FACTS shunt devices [12, 13]. For this study, The SVC-based TOV mitigation method is considered. A SVC that consists of a thyristor controlled reactor (TCR) in
Table 4 μG’s transformers data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>Twt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>connection method</td>
<td>$Y_{N11}$</td>
<td>$Y_{N11}$</td>
<td>$Y_{N11}$</td>
<td>$Y_{N11}$</td>
<td>$Y_{N11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voltage, kV</td>
<td>6.9/66</td>
<td>66/12.5</td>
<td>66/12.5</td>
<td>66/12.5</td>
<td>0.69/12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rated power, MVA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.C Imp, %</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>copper losses, kW</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no-load losses, kW</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Parameters of the 12.5 kV transmission lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{in}$, cm</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{out}$, cm</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{es}$,Ω/km</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{horiz}$, m</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{tower}$, m</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{separ}$, cm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$alpha$, deg</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Mitigation methods models data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SVC-based mitigation method model data</th>
<th>$L_s$</th>
<th>$C_t$</th>
<th>$R_d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>values</td>
<td>34 mH</td>
<td>10 μF</td>
<td>100 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supercapacitor-based mitigation method model data</th>
<th>$C$</th>
<th>$R_s$</th>
<th>$R_e$</th>
<th>$L$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>values</td>
<td>6.9 μF</td>
<td>1.44 Ω</td>
<td>2.8 Ω</td>
<td>1.74 mH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

parallel with a fixed capacitor is used. TCR is one of the most popular thyristor-based SVCs [29, 30].

Two antipolar thyristors represent the switching elements of the SVC model. The thyristors are conducted on alternate half cycles of the μG generation units’ frequency [29, 30].

Owing to the non-continuity of the reactor current, thyristors controlled inductors are installed between phases in delta connection to guarantee the continuity of the TCR. A damping resistor is added, across the controlled inductors, to limit the problem of numerical oscillation [31].

The gate pulse generator (GPG), regulator, and root-mean-square (RMS) voltage detector are the three main parts of the control system of the TCR model. The thyristors firing pulses are supplied by the GPG. Meanwhile, the thyristors conduction angle is accounted for by the regulator, which is passed to the GPG as a control signal. Finally, the RMS voltage detector interfaces the TCR with the μG system. In this case, TCR measures the RMS voltage [30]. The parameter of the SVC model, for each phase, is given in Table 6.

5.2 Supercapacitor-based TOV mitigation method

Supercapacitors have time constants longer than the time duration of power line transients in the range of few microseconds to several hundred microseconds, therefore, supercapacitors could be used to withstand short duration surges [13–15].

Many equivalent circuits and models are introduced in the literature for supercapacitors [32, 33]. The parameters of the supercapacitor-based mitigation method include equivalent series resistance ($R_s$), small reactor ($L$), equivalent parallel resistance ($R_p$), and capacitance ($C$) [34, 35].

$R_s$ represents the internal component of the supercapacitor [36, 37]. When charging or discharging the supercapacitors, the west internal heating power is determined by $R_s$. $R_p$ is a small resistance (100–10 Ω), however, $R_s$ impacts energy efficiency and power density [36, 38].

The small reactor ($L$) is added in series with the supercapacitors capacitance $C$, and a small resistance is added, as a damping element, in parallel with $R_p$.

The parameters of the supercapacitor, in this study, are given in Table 6.

6 Mitigation of TOV in μG based on SVC and/or supercapacitor

The TOVs resulting from a faulty de-energisation operation on a μG system are investigated. The switching point located nearby the PV generation unit. The TOVs mitigation methods are located nearby the switching point circuit breaker.

The de-energisation is performed by an unsymmetrical switching of phases A and B, together, of the switching circuit breaker. These unsymmetrical switching operations are applied at a peak voltage of each phase, and thus the worst expected case of the de-energisation operation.

The uses of different mitigation methods for TOVs at the μG are investigated. Three different mitigation methods are considered. First, a reactive power compensation device such as SVC is represented as a TOVs mitigation method. Then, adding an energy storage device to the μG, such as a supercapacitor, as TOVs mitigation methods models data
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mitigation method is evaluated. After that a combination of active and reactive power simultaneously to mitigate TOV, by the addition of SVC to the supercapacitor is investigated. The grid-connected mode and isolated mode of the μG are considered.

### 6.1 Grid-connected mode

In this subsection, the μG in grid-connected mode is tested when the SVC and/or the supercapacitor are installed. Figs. 7a and b show the maximum TOVs at RDGRs output voltage without/with the mitigation methods; the PV generation unit and the first WT, respectively.

AC power quality is a general term for indices that describe the impact on customer-device operation due to deviations from prescribed tolerances in the sinusoidal voltage’s amplitude, frequency, phase, and waveform. From this point of view, it can be concluded that the switching operation affects AC power quality.

When the switching is applied at $t = 0.0665$ s with only two phases opens, the frequency of the PV generation unit is increased to reach 500 Hz ten times the normal frequency of the system. The switching operation causes the PV generation unit maximum output voltage to reach 457.2 V and exceed the impulse withstand voltage which equals 440 V.

Using the mitigation methods, individually or together, helps in reducing both the frequency and the magnitude of the switching overvoltage to a range close to its normal values. Comparing the results, adding the supercapacitor to the SVC achieved better results compared to the other two investigated mitigation methods. In addition, it can be noted that using the supercapacitor or using the supercapacitor with the SVC result in more regular voltage waveform comparing with using only the SVC. However, using the supercapacitor individually or adding the supercapacitor to the SVC results in a small shift in the phase angle.

When the switching is applied, the WTs output voltage frequency increases to reach 550 Hz 11 times the normal frequency of the system. The switching operation causes the first and the second WTs maximum output voltage to reach 1194.7 and 1205 V, respectively. These results exceed the maximum switching impulse overvoltage for the WTs, which equals 1000 V.

As a TOV mitigation method, adding the supercapacitor to the SVC achieved better results compared to the other two investigated mitigation methods. However, using the supercapacitor individually results in a more uniform voltage waveform comparing to the other two mitigation methods. The switching frequency is reduced to a range close to its normal values, due to the installation of the mitigation methods.

Table 7 summarised the maximum TOV at the output voltage of the RDGRs with and without mitigation methods at grid-connected mode.

### 6.2 Isolated mode

The tested μG is isolated from the grid when the SVC and/or the supercapacitor are installed. The μG is isolated from the grid at $t = 0.015$ s. Figs. 7a and b show the maximum TOVs at RDGRs output voltage without/with the mitigation methods; the PV generation unit and the first WT, respectively.

The isolation operation causes the PV generation unit output voltage to decrease to around 73% of its nominal voltage. However, at $t = 0.0665$ s, when the switching operation occurs PV generation unit output voltage increases to reach 544.5 V. The frequency of the PV generation unit is increased, due to the switching operation, to reach 300 Hz seven times the normal frequency of the system.

It can be noticed that at the PV generation unit, as a TOV mitigation method, adding the supercapacitor to the SVC achieved better results compared to the other two investigated mitigation methods. Using the supercapacitor or using the supercapacitor with the SVC results in a more uniform voltage waveform comparing to using the SVC. Using the mitigation methods helps in reducing the frequency to a range close to its normal values. However, using the supercapacitor or adding the supercapacitor to the SVC results in a small shift in the phase angle.

Owing to the isolation operation, the first and second WT output voltage decrease to around 73 and 77% of its nominal voltage, respectively. The switching operation causes the first and second WT output voltage to increase to reach 1414.1 V for both turbines. Also, due to the switching operation, the switching frequency increases to reach 250 Hz five times the normal frequency of the system.

As the results show at WTs, as a TOV mitigation method, the supercapacitor added to SVC achieved better results compared to the other two investigated mitigation methods. However, using the supercapacitor individually results in a more uniform voltage waveform and more acceptable results comparing with the other two mitigation methods. Owing to the installation of the mitigation methods, the switching frequency is reduced to a range close to its normal values. However, using the supercapacitor or adding the supercapacitor to the SVC results in a small shift in the phase angle.

Table 7 summarised the maximum TOV at the output voltage of the RDGRs with and without mitigation methods at isolated mode.

Comparing the tested μG with the μG previously tested in [20] that does not include PV arrays, the μG powered with only WT generators (WTGs) has higher transient overvoltage level. Since the WTGs are designed to operate at high power level unlike the PV systems electronics. However, PV systems are commonly used...
RDGs and much more convenient in urban and suburban environments such as μGs.

7 Conclusion
This study investigates the mitigation of transient TOVs in a μG supplied by RDGRs, which includes PV generators, HGU, and WPGs. ATP is used in this study as electromagnetic transient software. Accurate simulation models are needed to carry out the study. Therefore, a three-phase PV system model based on ENRC is used.

The model $I-V$ and $P-V$ output characteristic curves show a perfect response to the change in solar radiation and temperature. The use of the proposed PV system model in switching studies is evaluated using two different electrical systems. During all the tests, with the different connected systems, the proposed PV system model works steadily and continuously.

The effectiveness of using different TOV mitigation methods such as SVC and/or the supercapacitor is evaluated. The investigated μG including PV arrays as a power source. The μG two operational modes, grid-connected mode and isolated mode, are considered.

At the RDGRs output voltage, the results show that in the μG two operational modes, adding the SVC to the supercapacitor achieved better results compared to the other two investigated mitigation methods. However, using the SC individually or adding the SVC to the supercapacitor, results in more uniform voltage waveform compared to using the SVC only.
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Table 7  Output voltage of the RDGRs with and without mitigation methods at grid connected mode and isolated mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid-connected mode</th>
<th>Without mitigation methods</th>
<th>SVC</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>SVC + SC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>P.U.</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>P.U.</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVGU</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>457.2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>219.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st WT</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1194.7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>658.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd WT</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>674.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGU</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>7053.9</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>6462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated mode</th>
<th>Without mitigation methods</th>
<th>SVC</th>
<th>Supercapacitor (SC)</th>
<th>SVC + SC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>P.U.</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>P.U.</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVGU</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>544.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>176.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st WT</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1414.1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>599.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd WT</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1414.1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>588.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGU</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>6850</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>6731</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9 Appendix

9.1 SVC-based TOV mitigation method

The inductor \( L_r \) which is connected in series with the thyristors, in the TCR, is given by

\[
\frac{1}{L_r} = \frac{\omega P}{V_{\text{rms}}^2} \left( \frac{P}{Q} + \frac{Q}{P} \right) \tag{14}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( P \) is the rated TCR active power, \( V_{\text{rms}}^2 \) is the RMS voltage of the TCR, and \( Q \) is the rated TCR reactive power.

The capacitive reactance \( X_C \) of the fixed capacitor \( C_f \) connected in parallel with the TCR is given by

\[
X_C = \frac{V_{\text{rms}}^2}{Q} \quad \tag{15}
\]

A damping resistor \( (R_d) \) is introduced, in this study, across the inductor \( L_r \) to stop the problem of numerical oscillation. This resistor is represented as

\[
R_d = \frac{L_r}{\beta \Delta t} \quad \tag{16}
\]

where \( \beta \) is a damping factor of the reactor, \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \), and \( \Delta t \) is the time step of the simulation.

9.2 SC-based TOV mitigation method

The equivalent series resistance \( (R_S) \) is given by

\[
R_S = \frac{V_C^2}{4 \times P_{\text{max}}} \quad \tag{17}
\]

where \( R_S \) is the equivalent series resistance of the SC bank in ohm, \( V_C \) is the maximum voltage of the SC bank in volts, and \( P_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum dischargeable power in VA.

\( R \), an equivalent resistance results from adding a resistance \( R_E \) in parallel with \( R_P \). The equivalent resistance \( R_E \) is defined by

\[
R_E = \frac{V_C}{I_D} \quad \tag{18}
\]

The capacitance \( C \) of the proposed SC-based mitigation method in \( \mu \text{F} \) can be expressed on the assumption that, if a single-step voltage of \( V_{\text{max}} \) in volts and time duration of \( T \) in seconds is applied to the SC, approximate final voltage developed at the capacitor is given by

\[
V_C = \frac{T}{R_S \times C} \times V_{\text{max}} \quad \tag{19}
\]

Equations (6) and (19) provide that the surge duration \( T \) is very much shorter than the time constant of the circuit \( T = R_S C \).